|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **US -001** |  | **1** | **1** | **ed** | **Typo in ISO 111783** | **Fix typo: ISO 11783** | Accepted. |
| **US -002** |  | 1 | 2 | ed | The acronym FMIS has not been defined yet at this point in the document | Replace FMIS by “farm management information system (FMIS)” | Accepted. |
| **US -003** |  | 2 |  | ge | Is Conformance in this part really not relevant? | Clarify scope, I would expect Conformance to be or to become relevant for ISO 7673-2 and ISO 7673-3. Even if limited to pointing the reader to an entity that supports implementation and can execute conformance testing. Also, if the XML schema is made available then some basic validation of data transfer messages against the schema can be performed. | Based on conversations during the workgroup meetings, we have added the following text:  “ISO 7673 defines an abstract object model. Applications intending to use the ISO 7673 object model will need an implementation of the object model that is appropriate for the particular application development platform. Future parts of the standard (or versions thereof) will include reference serialization implementations.  A particular implementation of the object model, whether it is for serialization or application development, would need to demonstrate some conformance to the business rules and constraints defined in 7673.  Conformance testing is essential for the expectation that two separate implementations can interoperate successfully. Given the large size and scope of ISO 7673, specification of conformance requirements and associated tests, will be covered in a separate part of the 7673 series.” |
| JISC-01  -004 |  | 7 | Table 1 | te | “N/A ?” is written on the column “Uses Sets” of “Surface”.  But Surface irrigation is supposed to use “Sets”, because growers can create and change “Sets” in Surface irrigation with pipelines and outlet valves, like in Drip irrigation. | Replace “N/A ?” with “Dynamic” in this cell of the Table 1. | An additional clause, 7.1.7, was added to describe surface irrigation in more detail. The table was updated to reflect the new clause and Paddy was added to the table. |
| JISC-02  -005 |  | 7.1 |  | ed | Explanation about PAIL is needed. The word “PAIL” appears in 7.1 for the first time, but there is no explanation of what the PAIL is. | Add explanation of PAIL in, for example, “Clause 3 Normative references” or “Clause 4 Terms and definitions”. | Accepted. We added the following text to the Introduction clause:  “NOTE: Readers may note the use of the acronym PAIL in this and other parts of the ISO 7673 standard. PAIL stands for Precision Ag Irrigation Language and was the name used for this set of standards prior to its introduction to ISO. Wherever the PAIL acronym is used, the intent is that PAIL refers to all parts of ISO 7673, collectively.”  Throughout the document, we have replaced “PAIL” with “ISO 7673-3” when the statement was intended to refer to Part 3. We have left collective references unchanged. |
| **US -006** |  | 7.1 |  | ge | Reference to PAIL data model is missing? Do we need to retain the PAIL acronym in this standard and in the data transfer message definitions? | Is the PAIL data model publicly available to readers of the standard? If so, where? If not, remove reference. If the PAIL acronym needs to be maintained, e.g. in the data transfer messages then it needs to be defined in the glossary and a reference provided. | Accepted. See also response to comment JISC-02-005 |
| **US -007** |  | 7.1 | Paragraph 1 | TE | Refence to PAIL data model should be to 7673 data model | Change PAIL to 7673 | Accepted. Text changed to “ISO 7673-3”. See also response to comment JISC-02-005 |
| **US -008** |  | 7.1 | Paragraph 1 | ED | Missing are, tense | ...systems are comprised of... | Accepted. |
| **US -009** |  | 7.1 | Paragraph 2 | GE | I am not clear about this part. I guess there is many to one relationship between section setup and set? Please correct me if it is not correct. I don't have background in irrigation...... | Please provide additional clarification | Accepted. There is a many to one relationship in that many SectionSetup instances may reference the same Set instance. We have modified the text in 7.1 to explain Block and Set and added system type specific interpretations in the subclauses of 7.1. |
| **US**  **-010** |  | 7.1.1 | Last note above diagram | ED | NOTE comment seems like an internal editorial note that should have been removed. | Remove NOTE | Agreed. Comment will be removed. |
| **US -011** |  | 7.1.2 |  | ed | A couple typos near the end of this paragraph (constrain, bot) | Fix typos | Accepted. |
| **US -012** |  | 7.1.2 |  | te | Agree with the definition of total wetted area in conjunction with required overlap for uniform application but this situation calls out for a method to be able to transfer more details about the sprinkler pattern and detailed distribution profile. | Add reference to sprinkler pattern definitions if there are any existing in industry? Ensure the model is extendable to transfer this level of detail? | Not Accepted. While the current data model could use Section objects to represent the wetted areas of individual sprinklers, the standard makes the fundamental assumption that water is applied uniformly to a Section. Estimating the distribution pattern (AKA a densogram) requires the sprinkler locations and the single leg profiles for each sprinkler. A future version of the standard could include a nonuniform distribution pattern. We believe this estimation (of the densogram) is out of scope for this version of 7673-3. The current object model does not preclude adding sprinkler pattern definitions. |
| **US -013** |  | 7.1.2 | Bullet 5 |  | Is this always depth or is application volume ever volume based such that this needs to be a more general description | Clarify if total application is depth or could be volume or some other measure | An irrigation record may specify either depth or volume depending on the use of the IrrItem.SectionFlow.Vol and IrrItem.SectionFlow.Depth properties. The text was modified to: “…provided the total application depth or valume remains constant at the field level …” |
| **US -014** |  | 7.1.2 | Bullet 6 | GE | Figure reference is incorrect | Change to Figure 4 | Accepted. |
| **US -015** |  | 7.1.2 | First paragraph | ED | Missing s | …Irrigation system***s*** (Irrigation, 2011)… | Accepted. |
| **US -016** |  | 7.1.2 | Last paragraph | GE | In total we have six sets (3 set for each line), so we need we need six instances of IrrItem? Then, within each item, only one section flow? | Please provide additional clarification | There are six Blocks and three Sets. Each Set contains two Blocks. Therefore, each IrrItem contains two SectionFlow items, one for each Block. |
| **US -017** |  | 7.1.2 | Paragraph 3 | ED | Typo, remove bot | ….consistent at the… | Accepted. |
| **US -018** |  | 7.1.2 | Paragraph 3 | ED | typo | … of this constrain***t*** is to… | Accepted. |
| **US -019** |  | 7.1.2 | Paragraph 3 | ED | Lines should not be plural | …that Wheel Line (and… | Accepted. |
| **US -020** |  | 7.1.2 | Paragraph 4 | GE | Figure number not indicated | Reference correct figure number | Accepted. |
| **US -021** |  | 7.1.3 | Paragraph 3, bullet 2 | TE | Is the temporal extent of particular value to this type of system? TimeScope was not mentioned in the previous system explanations. | Clarify if TimeScope is specific to Traveller, or add to other system type explanations if relevant | TimeScope is a property of the IrrItem class. A reference was added to indicate this. |
| **US -022** |  | 7.1.4 | Bullet 4 | TE | What constitutes a set in the context of a solid set system type? | Explain what a set is for the Solid Set system type | Accepted. Added the following to 7.1.4, para 3: Solid Set systems shall use the same definition of Set and Block as used by Drip Systems. |
| **US -023** |  | 7.1.4 | Figure 9 | ED | Hatching backwards | Switch hatching direction between SF1 and SF2 boxes to match Section Flow 1 and 2 in previous diagram | Accepted. Figure is updated. |
| **US -024** |  | 7.1.4 | List | ED | List uses numbers, others are bullets | Use bullets for consistency | Accepted. |
| **US -025** |  | 7.1.4 | List item 2 | TE | Microirrigation systems have not been defined, is this the same as a drip system? | Define microirrigation system or use drip system if it is the same thing | Accepted. Modified text to “significantly larger than micro-sprinkler systems (as defined in ISO24120-3).” Also included definition in Clause 4. |
| **US -026** |  | 7.1.4 | Paragraph 2 | ED | confusing wording in last sentence | change to *When both IrrSetup.SpatialFoorptint and SectionSetup.SpatialFootprint are used, ...* | Accepted. |
| **US -027** |  | 7.1.4 | Paragraph 2 | TE | The terminology use seems slightly different than the drip explanation. Would it make sense to use the Block and Set concepts with solid sets as well? | Consider aligning block and set terminology between Drip systems and solid set systems | Accepted. See response to US-022 |
| **US -028** |  | 7.1.5 |  | ed | End of this paragraph is difficult to read, fix some typo’s, clarify intent. | Fix typos (different, expository?), simplify terminology and shorten sentences. | Accepted. The sections have been reorganized and the paragraph edited. |
| **US -029** |  | 7.1.5 | End | TE | There is no sample document structure of a pivot system like there is for others that correspond to the schematic diagram | Add a pivot sample work record document structure | The examples for pivots are in Clause 12.2 and 12.3. We added the following text to the end of Clause 7.1.5: “Clauses 12.2 Document Structure and 12.3 Irrigation Records contain examples of the document structure for Pivot systems.” |
| **US -030** |  | 7.1.5 | End | TE | constraints not listed for a pivot system similar to descriptions for other system types | Add constraints list for pivot systems similar to other system types | The first paragraph of 7.1.5 contains the following addition: “Pivots, and Linear Move, do not use the Set and Block concepts.” Additional constraints are described in clause 12. |
| **US -031** |  | 7.1.5 | Figure 10 caption | ED | depending where I click on figure 10 caption covers up part of paragraph | Ensure there is proper spacing between caption and text | Accepted. The figure and caption have been reformatted. |
| **US -032** |  | 7.1.5 | Figure 12 | GE | Figure in wrong location | Move to 7.2.2 | The figure numbers have changed and it is no longer clear which figure this comment was referring to. |
| **US -033** |  | 7.1.5 | Paragraph 2 | ED | Different should be differ | …applications that ***differ*** along… | Accepted. |
| **US -034** |  | 7.1.5 | Paragraph 2 | ED | it seems this section explanation covers more than just pivots | move prior to each system type’s overview | Clause 7 has been thoroughly reorganized. |
| **US -035** |  | 7.1.5 | Paragraph 3 | ED | This seems to be a more general section explanation, not just for pivots and should probably occur earlier in the document | Provide a general overview of the Section and its uses prior to explaining specific application within each system type | Clause 7 has been thoroughly reorganized |
| **US -036** |  | 7.1.5 | Paragraph 3 | ED | Remove the word physical | …system from the description… | Accepted. |
| **US -037** |  | 7.1.6 | Bullet 2 | ED | Typo | …the Section closes***t*** to the…. | Accepted. |
| **US -038** |  | 7.1.6 | Bullet 3 | ED | Grammar or type issue | Maybe change to ..have disjoint***ed*** temporal… | Accepted. |
| **US -039** |  | 7.1.7 | End | TE | Diagrams and constraints missing | Possibly add a constraints list, schematic diagram, and work record document example for surface irrigation type if it makes sense to show. | Additional details were added. Given the similarity to Drip’s structure, a diagram seems repetitive |
| **US -040** |  | 7.1.7 | Line 1 | ED | Typo | …similarly to ***drip systems*** in that…. | Accepted. |
| **US -041** |  | 7.1.7 | Paragraph 1 | ED | seems to be an internal editorial comment | Remove comment | Accepted. |
| **US -042** |  | 7.1.8 | End | TE | constraints missing and explanation of diagrams is a little vague | Add a constraints list similar to other system types and explain diagrams in a little greater detail | Clause 7 has been thoroughly reorganized |
| **US -043** |  | 7.1.8 | Figures | GE | All figures in section missing numbers | Add correct number to figures | Accepted. |
| **US -044** |  | 7.1.8 | Paragraph 1 | ED | seems to be an internal editorial comment | Remove comment | Accepted. |
| JISC-03  -045 |  | 7.1.7 |  | te | Description is to be completed. | Complete the description. | Clause 7 has been thoroughly reorganized. The clause on surface irrigation, 7.1.7, has been expanded. |
| JISC-04  -046 |  | 7.1.8 |  | te | Description is to be completed. | Complete the description. | Clause 7 has been thoroughly reorganized. The clause on paddy irrigation, 7.1.8, has been expanded. |
| **US -047** |  | 7.2 | Paragraph 1 | ED | Refences two classes when there are three | Change two to three | Accepted. |
| **US -048** |  | 7.2.2 | Paragraph 2 | ED | Missing word a | …applied for ***a***  section… | Accepted. |
| **US -049** |  | 7.2.3 | Figure 15 | ED | Figure caption covers up first couple lines of paragraph depending on what is clicked on | Ensure proper spacing between figures, captions and text | Accepted. |
| **US -050** |  | 7.2.3 | Last paragraph | GE | Reference to future versions of the standard does not seem relevant as this standard seems to cover all system types already | Remove reference to future versions? | Not accepted. While this version does cover most current types of irrigation, we cannot know what the future will bring. The intended extensibility enables addition of new methods without breaking existing representations. |
| **US -051** |  | 8.3 | Paragraph 1 | ED | use cases scenarios redundant | Delete scenarios leave use cases for consistency | Accepted. |
| **US -052** |  | 9 | Last line | ED | Extra word | Delete ***it*** | Accepted. |
| **US -053** |  | 9.2 | id | GE | Should this be Document more generally instead XML file, assuming there may be a JSON version available in the future as well. | Possibly refer to general documents instead of XML file | Accepted. We added additional text to clarify the scope of the Id value’s uniqueness: “The Id value must at least be unique within the scope of the document where it appears but the scope of the value’s uniqueness may extend beyond the scope of the document.” |
| **US -054** |  | 9.2 | Id | TE | Per comments in part 1 should this (and similar references in other objects) be integers or strings? | If relevant, change type to string | Agreed. The reference to integer type was removed. The description of CompoundIdentifier is detailed in Part 1 |
| **US -055** |  | 9.2 | SysType | ED | Tense | define***d*** | Accepted. |
| **US -056** |  | 9.2 | Table | ED | Table should be numbered | Add correct number to table with a caption | Accepted. A table number and caption was added. |
| **US -057** |  | 9.3 | ManufacturerRef | ED | Indent on wrapped line off | Set correct wrap/indenting | Accepted. |
| **US -058** |  | 9.3 | Tables | ED | Tables should be numbered | Add correct number to tables with a caption | Accepted. Table numbers and captions were added. |
| **US -059** |  | 9.4 | First line | ED | Missing that | …class ***that*** specifies… | Accepted. |
| **US -060** |  | 9.4 | Table | TE | Not the right formula for water | Dihydrogen monoxide | Accepted. |
| **US -061** |  | 10.0 | Paragraph 1 | ED | Typo, advisor not advisory | …such as a crop advisor by sending…. | Accepted. |
| **US -062** |  | 10.9 | Elements | TE | required and optional included in this class description but not others | be consistent in the use of required across all class element definitions/explanations | The UML Class diagrams, and class descriptions have been thoroughly updated throughout the document. Multiplicity is now shown in both the diagrams and the class descriptions. |
| **US -063** |  | 10.9 | EndgunSetup | ED | Extra words maybe | …nominal value of water applied… | Not Accepted. The words are as intended. |
| **US -064** |  | 10.9 | LocationDataPedigree | TE | same questions on GNSS properties as outlined in Part 1 comments | Ensure this section aligns with any changes made to GNSS elements per comments in part 1 | *Accepted. See response in Part 1 comments.* |
| **US -065** |  | 10.9 | SystemLocation | ED | Typo | …of the SystemL***o***cation….. | Accepted. |
| **US -066** |  | 11.1.1 | ContextItem | ED | Missing what part is referenced | Part ***1*** | Accepted. Reference was changed to “ISO 7673-1” |
| **US -067** |  | 11.1.1 | SpatialScope | TE | is time scope specific to a Work Record? | Can this definition be generalized for all three document types better? | The spatialScope applies to all three document types, as noted in the opening of 11.1.1. |
| **US -068** |  | 11.1.1 | TimeScope | TE | is time scope specific to a Work Record? | Can this definition be generalized for all three document types better? | Agreed. Text was modified to:” This object describes the desired, planned, or actual start and end times depending on whether the document is a recommendation, work order, or work record, respectively” |
| **US -069** |  | 11.2 | Intro | GE | Seems incomplete | Review and possibly complete the intro to this section if content is missing | A brief introduction was added. |
| **US -070** |  | 11.2.1 | Paragraph 1 | ED | Typo, should be one not on | …Pressure member, and on***e*** (or more)… | Accepted. |
| **US -071** |  | 11.2.1 | Paragraph 1 | ED | unclear, I don't think them is the right word | Review and clarify sentence | Accepted. Word changed to “the” |
| **US -072** |  | 11.2.3 | Paragraph 1 | ED | Typo | …result in delineat***ing*** a specific… | Accepted. Text changed to “…result in delineation of specific area …” |
| **US -073** |  | 11.2.3 | Paragraph 2 | ED | Typo | …same information with***in*** each document. | Accepted. |
| **US -074** |  | 11.3 | Paragraph 2 | ED | Typo, delete “the” | …applied in that area associated… | Accepted. Text changed to “…no product was applied in the area associated …” |
| **US -075** |  | 11.3.1 | Paragraph 1 | GE | a product would likely have a GTIN not a GLN | Change to GTIN | The sentence was modified to: “The compound identifier it references can also include one or more unique IDs and a source (e.g., a GLN, GTIN, or a URI) for the product ID” |
| **US -076** |  | 11.3.1 | ProductRateUoM | TE | per explanation in section 1 should this be one of the four accepted UoM's or is it always in from this list? | Clarify acceptable UoM codes | The text does appear to conflict with previous statements about UoM codes. The text was changed to:”… code for the unit of measure used to apply the product …” Use of the word “should” implies that the codes in ISO11783-10 DDI are not mandatory and that other UoM codes are allowed. |
| **US -077** |  | 11.3.1 | ProductTotalUoM | TE | per explanation in section 1 should this be one of the four accepted UoM's or is it always in from this list? | Clarify acceptable UoM codes | The text does appear to conflict with previous statements about UoM codes The text was changed to:”… code for the unit of measure used to apply the product …”. Use of the word “should” implies that the codes in ISO11783-10 DDI are not mandatory and that other UoM codes are allowed. |
| **US -078** |  | 12.1 | Bullet 5 | TE | should be more general, not just pivot | Change to more general wording, not specific to one system type | Accepted. Text changed to “…total flow volume of the irrigation system over that …” |
| **US -079** |  | 12.1 | Bullet 8 | TE | would this apply to a linear or other system types as well? | If applicable generalize or indicate additional system types | Accepted. Text was added: “This constraint applies to Linear systems also.” |
| **US -080** |  | 12.2 | Last paragraph | TE | Specific reference to pivots, could apply to other irrigation system types | Generalize statement to all applicable irrigation system types | Accepted. Text was added: “This constraint applies to all moveable irrigation system types.” |
| **US -081** |  | 12.3 | All | TE | These guidelines are Pivot specific. Are the guidelines the same for all system types? | f not, add guidelines for each irrigation system type | Some of the guidelines are specific to Pivots, some are generic. In each of the bullet points, when the guideline is specific to a system type, that type is mentioned in the text. This is intentional. |
| **US -082** |  | 12.3 | Bullet 3 | ED | Missing the word “be” | …Irritem, it should ***be*** possible… | Accepted. |
| **US -083** |  | 12.4.1 | Last paragraph | ED | Sentence does not read correctly/ maybe a missing of or other tweak | …the spatial extent ***of*** multiple Section… | Accepted. |
| **US -084** |  | 12.4.1 | Paragraph 3 | ED | Capitalization | SectionFlow not sectionflow | Accepted. |
| **US -085** |  | 12.4.2 | Example 1 | ED | Tense | …field were irrigate***d*** simultaneously. | Accepted. |
| **US -086** |  | 12.4.2 | Paragraph 1 | ED | Tense | …can be irrigate***d*** separately. | Accepted. |
| **US -087** |  | 12.4.2 | Paragraph 1 | GE | PAIL reference is incorrect | Replace PAIL with Standard compliant | Accepted. |
| **US -088** |  | 6, 6.01 | Paragraph 2 | ED | First few lines in the note on 6.1 are the same as the note in the section 6 opening, is this redundancy needed or would wone note cover it? | Just use one Note. | Accepted. The two notes were merged (with redundant text removed) and placed in 6.1 |
| **US -089** |  | 7 | Table 1 | GE | Last footnote seems incomplete | Include the name or a better description of the traveller/big gun section | Accepted. The footnote was removed. |
| **US -090** |  | 7 | Table 1 | ED | Text in columns wraps in odd spots | make the width of columns a bit larger | Accepted. |
| **US -091** |  | all |  | GE | This document does not consistently use the should/shall/may language specified by ISO | Use the should/shall/may language | We have updated many clauses with the should/shall/may language. |
| **US -092** |  | All | Diagrams | ED | Assume it is online version of word causing this but multiple diagrams are rotated 90 degrees and cut off | Ensure all diagrams are properly orientated and not cut off | Accepted. All of the figures have been reviewed. Figure orientation and cropping was modified for some of the figures. |
| **US -093** |  | Annex A | All | TE | Examples specific to only show one system type | Add examples that are specific to each type of irrigation system | Accepted. There is an abstract example for Drip irrigation in Clause 12.4.2. |
| **US -094** |  | Annex A | Figure A.5 | GE | some of the font is very small in the examples, is there a way to make it larger and still have the figure fit on the page? | Ensure examples are legible | Accepted. All of the UML Class diagrams and object diagrams have been updated with larger font size. Some of the figures were separated into multiple parts (in Annex A). |
| **US -095** |  | Annex A.1 | First paragraph | ED | Delete extra “the” | …shows only the top-level… | Accepted. We have decided to remove the XML schema documentation and move the reference serializations to a later part of the 7673 series. |
| **US -096** |  | Annex B |  | ed | Reference to XMLSpy is not required | Remove reference to commercial products and tools | Accepted. We have decided to remove the XML schema documentation and move the reference serializations to a later part of the 7673 series. |
| **US -097** |  | Annex B | All | GE | Same as comment on part 1 but would be nice to also have a JSON schema implementation | Please consider adding a JSON Schema | Not Accepted. We have decided to remove the XML schema documentation and move the reference serializations to a later part of the 7673 series. |
| **US -098** |  | Annex B | B.1 | TE | ComplexType or element? | Clarify type | *Accepted. We have decided to remove the XML schema documentation and move the reference serializations to a later part of the 7673 series.* |
| **US -099** |  | Annex B | Intro | GE | PAIL reference is incorrect | Replace PAIL with Standard | Accepted. We have decided to remove the XML schema documentation and move the reference serializations to a later part of the 7673 series. |
| JISC-05  -100 |  | Annex B. 16 |  | ed | The diagram of the SectionFlowType needs to be updated.  As written in the minutes of the Project Meeting #3 on 24 Feb. 2022, the “Goal based approach” (i.e., TargetValues and ActualValues elements) was introduced into the SectionFlowType; however, the current diagram on this page does not show TargetValues and ActualValues. | Add description about TargetValues and ActualValues in SectionFlowType.  Similarly, add other model modifications that have not been updated in the CD(s), if any. | Accepted. We have decided to remove the XML schema documentation and move the reference serializations to a later part of the 7673 series. |
| **US -101** |  | Annex B.28, B.29 |  | ge | Undefined attributes | Complete or remove undefined attributes | Accepted. We have decided to remove the XML schema documentation and move the reference serializations to a later part of the 7673 series. |
| **US -102** |  | Intro | bullet 4 | ED | Typo | ..in the Standard... | Accepted. |
| **US -103** |  | Intro | Paragraph 1 | GE | Need to differentiate the difference between suggested and desired. Same issue as the one in Part1. | Be more explicit in the explanation of what constitutes a recommendation vs what is a work order with regard to suggested plan of action vs. request plan of action | Accepted. Descriptions of WorkOrder, WorkRecord, and Recommendation have been updated. |
| **US -104** |  | Intro | Paragraph 2 | ED | capitalize the Standard, it is in other areas | Consistent capitalization when referring to the Standard | Accepted. Text was changed to:”… presented in ISO 7673-1, …” |
| **US -105** |  | Intro, all | Paragraph 1 | ED | dataset or data set. Let's keep it consistent across the document | Use dataset throughout document | Accepted. Text was changed to “dataset” |
| **US -106** |  | Table 1 |  | ed | Several column headers need a more comprehensive definition or reference to a standard or source where the definition originated from. May also be helpful to provide an example picture of each technology. | Define “Uses Sets”, “Flow rate consistency”, “Guidance path”. | Accepted. Clause 7 has been thoroughly updated. |
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